



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/665,020	09/22/2003	Isao Echizen	566.37553CP3	5692
20457	7590 10/15/2004		EXAMINER	
	LI, TERRY, STOUT &	AZARIAN, SEYED H		
SUITE 1800	ГН SEVENTEENTH STREET 0		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTO	N, VA 22209-9889	2625		
			DATE MAILED: 10/15/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A - P - P - No	Anni:				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/665,020	ECHIZEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
TI MANUNO DATE AND	Seyed Azarian	2625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 March 2004</u> .						
· ·	<u> </u>					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-12</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>2-12</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 September 2003</u> is/are: <u>a)⊠ accepted or b)</u> objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te ·				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/22/03 and 1/7/04.	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/665,020

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-12, rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6, of U.S. Patent No. (6,650,763).

Each of the limitation set forth in the claims of the instant application is defined in the claims of the patent.

As an example consider claim 1, of current application, compared to claim 1, of U.S. Patent No. 6,6650,763 it disclose a digital watermark information extracting method in which digital watermark information is extracted from image data which has the digital watermark information embedded therein by altering at least one pixel data located at a predetermined position on a specific coordinate and is geometrically deformed, comprising (column 34, lines 49-55);

an embedding position check step of performing the processing of extracting at least one pixel data at a predetermined position on the specific coordinate from the image data and

Application/Control Number: 10/665,020

Art Unit: 2625

comparing the data value of the pixel data thus extracted with a reference value to judge whether the information is embedded in the pixel data while applying the geometrical deformation on the image data until it is confirmed that the information is embedded in the pixel data (column 34, lines 56-64).

Claim 1 of current application which is a broader version of claim 1 of the patent, differs from claim 1 of patent (6,650,763), only in verifying confirmation when the "reliability is higher than a predetermined threshold, which is a conventional procedure in the process of comparison of input image data with reference data.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because all of the features of the current application are covered in the patented application.

The other claims have similar correspondence to the patent application.

Contact Information

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Seyed Azarian whose telephone number is (703) 306-5907. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 6:00 a.m. to 7:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bhavesh Mehta, can be reached at (703) 308-5246. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published application may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 10/665,020

Art Unit: 2625

Status information about the PAIR system, see http:// pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Seyed Azarian

Patent Examiner

Group Art Unit 2625

October 5, 2004

BHAVESH M. MEHTA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600